

## Land at Sodbury Road, Wickwar

### Heritage Note

**DRAFT**

QA:

#### 1 INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 This Heritage Note sets out an agreement between the Appellant's heritage witness Mr Skinner and South Gloucestershire Council's heritage witness Mr Nicholson.
- 1.2 It is in respect of two matters raised during the cross examination of Mr Nicholson on 2 November 2023:
  - The extension of Frith Farmhouse following the grant of planning permission PK13/2892/F and listed building consent PK13/2893/LB. And,
  - The location of the photographs **Image EDP 12** and **Image EDP 13** in the Archaeological and Heritage Baseline Assessment (EDP, 2021a; edp6190\_r003b).

#### 2 THE EXTENSION OF FRITH FARMHOUSE

- 2.1 It is confirmed that, following the grant of planning permission PK13/2892/F and listed building consent PK13/2893/LB on 30th September 2013, the Grade II\* listed building Frith Farmhouse was subject to alterations and the construction of a three-storey extension on its north side in line with the details of that permission.
- 2.2 The Plans at **Appendix EDP 1** which were submitted with the applications PK13/2892/F and PK13/2893/LB illustrate the layout of the building before the works and afterwards.
- 2.3 The plans confirm that the eastern elevation of the building includes a modern extension at its northern end which can be seen in photograph **Image RS 20** in Mr Skinner's Proof of Evidence.
- 2.4 There were no objections to the extension to Frith Farmhouse from Historic England.
- 2.5 The extensions, whilst modern, still form part of the Grade II\* listed building.
- 2.6 It is agreed that the images at Mr Nicholson's **Figs 8, 9 & 10** are not taken from within the modern extension.

### **3 THE LOCATION OF THE PHOTOGRAPH IMAGE EDP 12**

- 3.1 During Mr Nicholson's Evidence in Chief reference was made to **Image EDP 12** and to a photograph **Image EDP 13** both of which are reproduced in the Archaeological and Heritage Baseline Assessment (EDP, 2021a; edp6190\_r003b), the description of these images suggest they were taken from the appeal site, but they were not..
- 3.2 The 'site' when referred to in the Archaeological and Heritage Baseline Assessment is the 38ha site initially considered and not the Appeal Site.
- 3.3 It is therefore agreed, following clarification:
- that **images EDP 12** and **13** are not within the appeal site;
  - That **figs 8, 9 & 10** were not taken from the modern part of Frith Farmhouse;
  - The difference between the parties is the impact on the setting of Frith Farmhouse.

## **Appendix EDP 1 PK13/2893/LB**