Alice.Wells@turley.co.uk

Please ask for: Customer Service Centre Tel: 01454 868004 Our ref: P21/030/SCR (Please quote at all times) Your ref:

Date:

18th November 2021

## TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT) REGULATIONS 2017 PRE-APPLICATION SCREENING OPINION

Dear Ms Wells

LOCATION:Land At Sodbury Road Wickwar South Gloucestershire<br/>GL12 8NTDESCRIPTION:Screening opinion for the erection of up to 180 no.<br/>dwellings.REFERENCE NO:P21/030/SCR

I refer to your request for a screening opinion from the local planning authority for the above proposal in accordance with Regulation 6(1) of the above Regulations.

I am writing to advise you that in the authority's opinion an EIA is not required. Please see the attached matrix for the reasons as to the view reached.

Yours sincerely

Jonathan Ryan Planning Officer

Strategic Planning, South Gloucestershire Council, Department For Environment And Community Services, PO Box 1954, Bristol, BS37 0DD

Telephone: 01454 868004 Email: planningapplications@southglos.gov.uk



South Gloucestershire

## THE TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING (ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT) REGULATIONS 2017 SCREENING MATRIX

1. CASE DE	TAILS		
Case Reference	P21/030/SCR	Brief description of the project /	Erection of up to 180 no. dwellings
Appellant	Click here to enter text.	development	
LPA	Click here to enter text.		
2. EIA DET	AILS		
	t Schedule 1 development acc f the EIA Regulations?	ording to	No
If YES, which	description of development (	THEN GO TO Q4)	Click here to enter text.
Is the project Regulations?	t Schedule 2 development und	ler the EIA	Yes
If YES, under 1 and Colum	which description of develop 1 2?	ment in Column	10. Infrastructure projects (b) Urban development projects
	pment within, partly within, o ned by Regulation 2 of the EIA		No
If YES, which	area?		Click here to enter text.
Are the applie 2 exceeded/1	cable thresholds/criteria in Co met?	olumn	Yes
If yes, which	applicable threshold/criteria	?	<ul> <li>(ii) The development includes more than 150 dwellings (180)</li> <li>(iii) The overall area of the development exceeds 5 hectares</li> <li>(7.89 hectares)</li> </ul>
3. LPA/SO	S SCREENING		
Screening Di	or SoS issued a Screening Opi rection (SD)? (In the case of I a Regulation 37 notice been is	Enforcement	Click here to enter text.
If yes, is a co	ppy of the SO/SD on the file?		Click here to enter text.
If yes, is the	SO/SD positive?		<select></select>
4. ENVIRO	NMENTAL STATEMENT		
	llant supplied an ES for the cu eserved matters or conditions		No

WHEN COMPLETING THIS DOCUMENT IN RELATION TO AN ENFORCEMENT APPEAL, THE UNDERSIGNED OFFICER HAS HAD REGARD TO THE PROJECT AS ALLEGED IN THE RELEVANT ENFORCEMENT NOTICE WHEN REFERING TO THE PROJECT / DEVELOPMENT.

Page 1/9

Question	(Part 2a) / (Part 2b) – Answer to the question and explanation of reasons (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)	(Part 3a) / (Part 3b) (only if Yes in part 2a) – Is a Significant Effect Likely? (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)
	Briefly explain answer to Part 2a and, if applicable and/or known, include name of feature and proximity to site (If answer in Part 2a / 2b is 'No', the answer to Part 3a / 3b is 'N/A')	Is a significant effect likely, having regard particularly to the magnitude and spatial extent (including population size affected), nature, intensity and complexity, probability, expected onset, duration, frequency and reversibility of the impact and the possibility to effectively reduce the impact? If the finding of no significant effect is <b>reliant on</b> <b>specific features or measures</b> of the project envisaged to avoid, or prevent what might otherwise have been, significant adverse effects on the bold
1. NATURAL RESOURCES		
<b>1.1</b> Will construction, operation or decommissioning of the project involve actions which will cause physical changes in the topography of the area?	Yes. The site is currently occupied by improved grassland / semi- improved grassland fields and arable farmland. The site slopes gradually from the southeast to north-west from approximately 90.5m AOD to 83m AOD.	No. Given the scale and nature of the development and the location of the site it is not considered that the impact necessitates an ElA.
	The existing fields and farmland would be replaced by built form including associated hard surfacing required. There would also be reprofiling of the land using cut and fill techniques to provide a level plateau.	
<b>1.2</b> Will construction or operation of the project use natural resources above or below ground such as land, soil, water, materials/minerals or energy which are non-renewable or in short supply?	<b>1.2</b> Will construction or operation of the would be replaced by development. There would be the use of non-project use natural resources above or renewable materials/minerals or energy which are non-renewable or in short supply? We supply and that project use natural resources above or renewable or in short supply?	No. The site comprises entirely of grade 4 poor quality land; therefore, it is not considered to be Best and Most Versatile agricultural land. Given the nature of the proposal it will not involve the use of resources, materials or energy which are abnormally scarce.

<ul> <li>2. WASTE</li> <li>2. WASTE</li> <li>2.1 Will the project produce solid wethods to achieve the required through cut and fill methods to achieve the required levels. It is likely that standard methods to achieve the required levels. It is likely that standard methods to achieve the required levels. It is likely that standard methods to achieve the required levels. It is likely that standard methods to achieve the required levels. It is likely that standard methods to achieve the required levels. It is likely that standard methods to achieve the required levels. It is likely that standard methods to achieve the required levels. It is likely that standard mough the methods to achieve the required levels. It is likely that standard mough the construction or operation waste material will be generated through the methods to minimize waste can be apart of the CEMP. The nature and scale of the development that will be standard household waste/recycling material as well as well as that will be standard household waste/recycling material as well as to fite CEMP. The nature and scale of the development that waste generated during operation will not bring abou significant issues. Therefore, the generation of waste during construction/operation phase is unlikely to be significant.</li> </ul>	<b>1.3</b> Are there any areas on/around the location which contain important, <b>high</b> would be replaced by development. It is not considered that there agricult <b>quality or scarce resources</b> which could be any affects on forestry, water/coastal, fisheries, minerals. For incorrect the project, e.g. fisheries, minerals?	No. Attnough the proposal would result in the loss of 7.39 nectares of agricultural land it comprises entirely of Grade 4 (poor quality) agricultural land. Mitigation will be required by way of a Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) condition in respect to the management of soil at the site to minimise its loss and degradation.
Yes. Changes to the topography will be required through cut and fill methods to achieve the required levels. It is likely that standard construction waste material will be generated through the construction process. During operation, waste will also be generated that will be standard household waste/recycling material as well as waste from a single local retail unit.		
	Yes. Changes to the topography will be required through cut and fill methods to achieve the required levels. It is likely that standard construction waste material will be generated through the construction process. During operation, waste will also be generated that will be standard household waste/recycling material as well as waste from a single local retail unit.	No. No significant import or export of material to/from the site is proposed. The contractor would be required to manage construction waste in line with separate regulations (The Waste (England and Wales) Regulations 2011. Mitigation in the form of a condition to ensure best practice methods to minimize waste can be applied as part of the CEMP. The nature and scale of the development is such that waste generated during operation will not bring about any significant issues. Therefore, the generation of waste during the construction/operation phase is unlikely to be significant.

Question	(Part 2a) / (Part 2b) – Answer to the question and explanation of reasons (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)	(Part 3a) / (Part 3b) (only if Yes in part 2a) – Is a Significant Effect Likely? (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)
or decommissioning?		
3. POLLUTION AND NUISANCES		
<b>3.1</b> Will the project release pollutants or any hazardous, toxic or noxious substances to air?	<ul> <li>Yes. During construction there is likely to be the emissions from plant, machinery and construction traffic. There would also likely be the generation of dust from construction activity and the emissions from the nanufacture of construction materials.</li> <li>No. Given the scale and nature of the development and the location machinery and construction impacts are likely to be localised carbon through the manufacture of construction materials. There would also likely be the materials.</li> <li>No. Given the scale and nature of the scale and the fact that construction impacts are likely to be be buring operation there is likely to be emissions through vehicular trips mitigated by way of a Construction Environmental Management Plan to and from the site.</li> <li>During operation the site.</li> <li>During operation.</li> <li>During operation, emissions from cars would gradually reduce as more vehicles switch to electric/hybrid technology.</li> </ul>	No. Given the scale and nature of the development and the location of the site and the fact that construction impacts are likely to be localised and relatively short term (4 years) it is not considered that the impacts necessitates an EIA. Construction impacts would be mitigated by way of a Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) condition. During operation, emissions from cars would gradually reduce as more vehicles switch to electric/hybrid technology.
<b>3.2</b> Will the project cause noise and vibration or release of light, heat, energy or electromagnetic radiation?	Yes. Construction activity particularly machinery, plant and construction traffic will likely generate noise and vibration. During operation there is likely to be noise and vibration caused by vehicular movements to and from the site, and light, heat and energy from typical household appliances, as well as street lighting. This would also apply to the local retail unit.	y machinery, plant and te noise and vibration. During and vibration. During and vibration caused by vehicular d light, heat and energy from Il as street lighting. This would also mitigated by way of a Construction impacts could be mitigated by way of a Construction impacts could be would gradually reduce as more vehicles switch to electric/hybrid technology.

o be risks from pollutants No. Construction effects would be controlled through best practice nery and construction md water given the proximity activities commencing on-Site. West of the site and a During operation, there is the secure of the site and a during periods of the potential for significant pollution effects to occur.	in air quality management area. Itely 720m north of the site itrations of NO2 were well below 2017 and 2018. It is considered iodbury ed to such an extent that the AQO within the locality.	hin flood zone 1 where there N/A e western boundary and part in flood zones 2 and 3 where ig. A temporary drainage ig. A temporary drainage on to manage surface water, nage scheme would be g certain rainfall events plus peration.
Yes. During construction there are likely to be risks from pollutants such as oil, fuel, cement etc. from machinery and construction vehicles being released into the ground and water given the proximity of a tributary of the Ladden Brook 250m west of the site and a drainage ditch to the western boundary. During operation, there is likely to be a risk of pollutants being released to the ground and water via surface water run-off from hard surface areas during periods of rainfall.	No. The site is not located within an air quality management area. Monitoring undertaken approximately 720m north of the site indicates that mean annual concentrations of NO2 were well below the Air Quality Objective (AQO) in 2017 and 2018. It is considered unlikely that traffic on the B4060 Sodbury Road/High Street will have increased to such an extent that the AQO would have increased significantly within the locality.	IH No. The majority of the site is located within flood zone 1 where there is the lowest risk of flooding with only the western boundary and part of the site's southern extent located within flood zones 2 and 3 where there is a medium and high risk of flooding. A temporary drainage system will be installed during construction to manage surface water, and a policy compliant surface water drainage scheme would be required to deal with surface water during certain rainfall events plus an allowance for climate change during operation.
<b>3.3</b> Will the project lead to risks of contamination of land or water from releases of pollutants onto the ground or into surface waters, groundwater, coastal waters or the sea?	<b>3.4</b> Are there any areas on or around the location which are already subject to pollution or environmental damage, e.g. where existing legal environmental standards are exceeded, which could be affected by the project?	<ul> <li>4. POPULATION AND HUMAN HEALTH</li> <li>4.1 Will there be any risk of major is t accidents (including those caused by off climate change, in accordance with the scientific knowledge) during sys construction, operation or decommissioning?</li> </ul>

M
No. The scheme is for a standard residential build using typical construction techniques. Separate health and safety legislation will be required to be complied with during construction and further mitigation would be agreed via a CEMP to reduce risks from air pollution and ground contamination. The scheme will be required to comply with appropriate standards in relation to amenity and crime prevention and security to reduce any risks to the population and human health during operation. Although the south-western corner of the site lies within an outer consultation Zone Health and Safety Executive, no built form is proposed within this area. No built form would be located in an area at risk from flooding.
<b>4.2</b> Will the project present a risk to the population (having regard to population density) and their human health during construction, operation or

Question	(Part 2a) / (Part 2b) – Answer to the question and explanation of reasons (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)	(Part 3a) / (Part 3b) (only if Yes in part 2a) – Is a Significant Effect Likely? (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)
decommissioning? (for example due to water contamination or air pollution)		
ces ers, und which me and and for portant portant portant casion ne one, one,	ary of the Ladden Brook lies 250m west of the site and a ch extends along the western boundary. There would be n impermeable area from dwellings and hardstanding the existing greenfield site which has the potential to acce water flooding. The existing greenfield site which has the potential to acce water flooding. The water flooding. The majority of the site comprises improved grassland and aproved grassland, there are areas of dense and scattered and hedgerow and wet ditches to field boundaries. The vered by any statutory or non-statutory nature i designations. However, the supporting Environmental sement Screening Report (October 2021) does identify the ated sites likely to be affected (potential zone of the proposal (see paragraphs 3.19 and 3.20). These SSSI and 10 non-statutory designated sites.	No. A flood risk assessment and drainage strategy prepared identifies that the risk of surface water flooding for the majority of the site is low. The drainage strategy for the scheme will propose an attenuation basin to the west of the site and surface water would be attenuated at a controlled rate to the ditch at the western site boundary. Run off will be restricted to the existing greenfield rate. The design of the drainage scheme will make an allowance for climate change. No. Whilst in itself the scheme does not meet the criteria for an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) under the 2017 Regulations on ecological grounds, any application will nonetheless be expected to address all relevant ecological issues through application of the mitigation hierarchy, and should be accompanied with appropriate ecological surveys, including a Biodiversity Net Gain Plan using the Defra Biodiversity Metric.
(Where designated indicate level of designation (international, national, regional or local))).		

6.2 Could any protected, important or sensitive species of flora or fauna which		No. Whilst in itself the scheme does not meet the criteria for an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) under the 2017 Regulations
The areas on or around the site of for	scrub, trees and hedgerow and wet ditches to field boundaries. The	on ecological grounds, any application will nonetheless be expected to
breeding pesting foraging resting	site is not covered by any statutory or non-statutory nature	address all relevant ecological issues through application of the
	conservation designations. However, the supporting Environmental	mitigation hierarchy, and should be accompanied with appropriate
	Impact Assessment Screening Report (October 2021) does identify the ecological surveys, including a Biodiversity Net Gain Plan using the	ecological surveys, including a Biodiversity Net Gain Plan using the
	main designated sites likely to be affected (potential zone of	Defra Biodiversity Metric.
	influence) by the proposal (see paragraphs 3.19 and 3.20). These	
	include two SSSI and 10 non-statutory designated sites.	

Question	(Part 2a) / (Part 2b) – Answer to the question and explanation of reasons (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)	(Part 3a) / (Part 3b) (only if Yes in part 2a) – Is a Significant Effect Likely? (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)
over-wintering, or migration, be affected by the project?		
7. LANDSCAPE AND VISUAL		

<ul> <li>No. The proposed development area is not located within an AONB or designated landscape and will not significantly affect any sensitive areas. Given the scale of the proposed development, the landscape and visual impact of the proposed scheme should be addressed through the forthcoming planning application, in terms of a Landscape and Visual Impact of the proposals in conjunction with other local residential schemes in and around a trould price LAN arried out in accordance with recognised best practice GiVIA 3, guidance. A cumulative LVIA should be undertaken to determine the impact of the proposals in conjunction with other local residential schemes in and around Wickwar (including recently constructed, consented, and current planning applications). Representative viewpoints (including the work arriad presentative viewpoints (including the council, prior to the work commencing. The following information should be submitted as part of any planning application, which should be atreed with the Council, prior to the work commencing. The following information should be submitted as part of any planning application, which should take into consideration guidance set out in the adopted South Gloucestrshire SPDs.</li> <li>A current tree and vegetation survey, which identifies all vegetation to be removed or retained together with details of any tree protection during the works as required under BSS837 (2012).</li> <li>Proposed landscape design and mitigation strategy plan, supported by a list of proposed landscape design and mitigation strategy plan, suported by a list of proposed landscape as as the m M9 in Screening Report Appendix 1: Schedule of Proposed Mitigation. However, recommend that this is combined with a landscape design and mitigation strategy plan, suported by a list of proposed landscape design and mitigation strategy to demonstrate how a robust, well connected and multi-functional open space and green/blue infrastructure will be infrastructure will be infrastructure will be infrastructure will</li></ul>
Yes. The proposed development site lies off the west side of the B4060 Sodbury Road at the southern end of Wickwar, to the rear of Nos. 64 to 86, which front onto the road, and comprises 3No. large fields within its central part with 2No. smaller fields within its northern and southern eastern margins. It is accessed off the road to the south of South Farm and has a broad road frontage to the south of South Farm and has a broad road frontage to the south of South Farm and has a broad road frontage to the south of No. 86. A number of field hedgerows with mature trees sub-divide and bound the site fields. To facilitate the development, 2 areas of access to the site need to be created at the North-East and South-East extents of the site. This requires the removal of 2 protected trees from G1, as well as the removal of the trees and hedgerows with reported categories of C and U. The removal of further sections of hedgerow and trees is also required within the site. The site and wider area lie within SGC Landscape Character Area (LCA) 5: Wickwar Ridge and Vale.
<b>7.1</b> Are there any areas or features on or around the location which are protected for their landscape and scenic value, and/or any non-designated / non-designated / non-designated / non-designated indicate by the project?1 Where designated indicate by the project?1 Where designated indicate invelo of designation (international, regional or local).

No. Given that the site is not located within an AONB or designated landscape area it would not significantly affect any sensitive areas. Supporting details submitted indicate that the majority of the existing hedgerows and trees at the site will be retained and there would be additional planting to green/open space areas.		No. Without prejudice to any future assessment of the individual and collective impact of the scheme on heritage assets, it is considered that the proposal does not fall within the scope of 'significant' environmental impacts as expressed in the EIA regulations and that a full Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) under the 2017 Regulations is not warranted on builtheritage grounds alone. TAssessment (EIA) under the 2017 Regulations is not warranted on builtheritage grounds alone. The and should be expected that a heritage impact statement is produced by a specialist heritage consultant and should be based on the methodology framework assessment as equited in Historic England's "Setting of Heritage framework assessment as et out in Historic England's "Setting of Heritage statement include illustrative montages of the proposed development (including all associated infrastructure) from multiple viewpoints around the site to demonstrate the potential effects (or not) of development (including all associated infrastructure) from multiple viewpoints around the site to demonstrate the potential effects (or not) of development on the setting of the identified heritage assets or search areas may be required, but as per GPA Note 3, any heritage statement will need to consider approaches such as identifying and agreeing to 'Zones of Visual Influence' (ZVI) or 'Zones of Theoretical Influence' (ZVI). An archaeological report would also be required to be submitted with any application.
Yes. The site is located to the west of Sodbury Road and parts of it will be glimpsed by people travelling along this road and also by properties directly east of the site. There are public rights of way within the vicinity of the site but they do not extend through it.	OGY	Yes. The proposed development would see the further urbanisation of the southern end of Wickwar and while the separation distances are noted between the development proposals and the relevant heritage assets, it would be expected that this extension of Wickwar will have further impact on the setting of the designated heritage assets identified. Of particular concern are the views out from the western side of the conservation area.
<b>7.2</b> Is the project in a location where it is likely to be highly visible to many people? (If so, from where, what direction, and what distance?)	8. CULTURAL HERITAGE/ARCHAEOLOGY	<b>8.1</b> Are there any areas or features which are protected for their cultural heritage or archaeological value, or any non-designated / classified areas and/or features of cultural heritage or archaeological importance on or around the location which could be affected by the project (including potential impacts on setting, and views to, from and within)? Where designated indicate level

1 See question 8.1 for consideration of impacts on heritage designations and receptors, including on views to, within and from designated areas.

Question	(Part 2a) / (Part 2b) – Answer to the question and	(Part 3a) / (Part 3b) (only if Yes in part 2a) – Is a Significant Effect Likely? (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)
of designation (international, national, regional or local).		
9. TRANSPORT AND ACCESS	CESS	
<b>9.1</b> Are there any routes on or around the location which are used by the public for access to recreation or other facilities which could be	Yes. Access to the site would No. An EIA on the grounds be to and from the B4060 'Transportation Assessmer 'Transportation Assessmer provided without jeopardi change in traffic associated would likely see an increase in vehicular trips during the construction and operation	No. An EIA on the grounds of highway would not be required. However, any future planning application for this proposal must include submission of a full "Transportation Assessment' report detailing how traffic (all modes of travelling) to site would be achieved and to ensure that safe access to the development is provided without jeopardising the efficient operation of the existing highway network by the proposals. There would be a requirement for an assessment in the change in traffic associated with the development plus details of access, car parking and turning areas on site as well as arrangements for refuge collection and other commercial vehicle that require access to the site. Where necessary, any mitigation measures should be included. Any future planning application on this site must therefore be supported with a full Transport Assessment including but not necessarily limited to, the following
affected by the project?	stages and would be affected by the project. No public rights of way extend through	
	the site; therefore, it is unlikely that access to these	as follows, i. Increase of traffic impact of this development proposal together with other committed developments (previously approved) in the area on the shuttle signal on High Street- Wickwar
	routes would be adversely affected.	Description of the second second second second and the second
		unstance of consumer of the second ment. Massessment of traffic impact of this proposal and other committed development (previously approved) in the area on the B4060 Wickwar Road itself and its junctions to the south (namely Southfield Way and St. Johns' Way) at chipping Sodbury end.
		c. A demonstration of the manner in which these traffic movements will affect the local highway network and how the proposed access road may accommodate all development traffic as well as the existing and consideration of the development's construction traffic and how any impacts will be mitigated. If mitigation is required, then full details of this must be supplied.
		e. Full details of proposals to serve this site by public transport and consideration if the existing public transport facility is adequate and if it can cope with the new demand.
		<ul> <li>Full details of the travel planning and sustainable transport measures to be adopted to minimise vehicular the demand arising from this development.</li> <li>Full details of the proposed parking provision for this development. This must accord with the Council's Residential Parking Standards SPD.</li> <li>A full assessment of the arrangements to allow refuge to be collected from the site. There may be a requirement for the provision of suitable</li> </ul>

No. An EIA on the groun submission of a full 'Tran that safe access to the d There would be a requirt and turning areas on site necessary, any mitigation Any future planning appl to, the following informa to, the following informa a. A full forecast the local highway netwo traffic impact would neco traffic impact would neco i. Increase of tra area on the shuttle signa ii. Increase of tra area and their impact on from congestion issues a 'National Highway' ( prev ii. Assessment of ii.	<ul> <li>Wickwar Road itself and its junctions to the south (namely Southfield Way and St. Johns' Way) at chipping Sodbury end.</li> <li>c. A demonstration of the manner in which these traffic movements will affect the local highway network and how the proposed access road may accommodate all development traffic as well as the existing and consideration of the development's construction traffic and how any impacts will be mitigated. If mitigation is required, then full details of this must be supplied.</li> <li>e. Full details of the proposed site access arrangements for vehicles, cyclists and pedestrians.</li> <li>e. Full details of the travel planning and sustainable transport measures to be adopted to minimise vehicular the demand arising from this development.</li> <li>f. Full details of the proposed parking provision for this development. This must accord with the council's Residential Parking Standards this development.</li> <li>g. Full details of the arrangements to allow refuge to be collected from the site. There may be a requirement for the provision of util adetails are likely to be required to demonstrate this can be successfully accomplished.</li> <li>h. A full assessment of the arrangements to allow refuge to be collected from the site. There may be a requirement for the provision of suitable turning space to allow service vehicles to turn round on-site bring to accomplished.</li> <li>i. The detail of all new on-site highways and any off-site amendments, especially if it is intended that they be adopted. All highways which are to be adopted must be the subject of approval by the Council and must also be subject to appropriate safety audit procedures.</li> </ul>
Yes. The B4060 Road and its junctions namely Southfield Wy and St John's Way; the shuttle signal on High Street Wickwar. The proposal would result in an increase in vehicular trips to and from the site and would likely to affect these locations. Junction 14 of the M5 approximately 6.3km away also susceptible to congestion at peak times.	
<b>9.2</b> Are there any transport routes on or around the location which are susceptible to congestion or which cause environmental problems, which could be affected by the project?	

Page

10. LAND USE		
<b>10.1</b> Are there existing land uses or community facilities on or around the location which could be affected by the project? E.g. housing, densely populated areas, industry / commerce, farm/agricultural holdings, forestry, tourism, mining, quarrying, facilities relating to health, education, places of worship, leisure /sports / recreation.	Yes. The site comprises No agricultural land and there is the a farm directly north of the site. There is a fencing business and residential properties which front onto the B4060 to the east of the site. New residential dwellings and a residential construction site are located on the eastern side of the B4060. At a further distance from the site there is a commercial car sales garage (120m north approx.), and the High Street with a variety of uses is located at a distance of approximately 306 metres to the north.	Yes. The site comprises No. Existing land uses that are within close proximity to the site are not considered to be particularly sensitive. The impacts of a farm directly north of the business and residential business and residential properties which front onto the B4060 to the east of the avelopment are such that an ElA is not required. Accordingly, the nature of the business and residential evelopment are such that an ElA is not required. Accordingly, the nature of the avelopment are such that an ElA is not required. Accordingly, the nature of the avelopment are as an ersidential evelopment are such that an ElA is not required. Accordingly, the nature of the avelopment are associated to the east of the associated of the east of the associated of the east of the associated of the east of the eas
<b>10.2</b> Are there any plans for future land uses on or around the location which could be affected by the project?	Yes. Planning permission has No been granted for the erection of 90 dwellings to the east of the B4060. This development has commenced and is currently under construction.	Yes. Planning permission has No. Given the nature of the development proposed and that of the development under construction to the east, it is unlikely that been granted for the impacts would necessitate an EIA. The east of the B4060. This development has commenced and is currently under construction.
11. LAND STABILITY AND CLIMATE	ND CLIMATE	

Page

N/A								
No. The location is not	considered to be susceptible	to earthquakes, subsidence,	landslides, erosion or	extreme /adverse climatic	conditions. The site is not in	an area where there has	been coal mining.	
11 1 Is the location	susceptible to							

Question	(Part 2a) / (Part 2b) – Answer to the question and	(Part 3a) / (Part 3b) (only if Yes in part 2a) – Is a Significant Effect Likely? (Yes/No or Not Known (?) or N/A)
earthquakes, subsidence, landslides, erosion, or extreme /adverse climatic conditions, e.g. temperature inversions, fogs, severe winds, which could cause the project to present environmental problems?		
12. CUMULATIVE EFFECTS		

The NPPG states that, 'only a very small proportion of schedule 2 development will require an EIA'. It also points to a set of 'indicative thresholds and criteria', where projects are likely to have significant environmental effects. For Urban development projects it states that, 'Environmental Impact Assessment is unlikely to be required for the redevelopment of land unless the new development is on a significantly greater scale than the previous use, or the types of impact are of a markedly different nature or there is a high level of contamination. Sites which have into the types of the scheme is more than 5 hectares; or (i) area of the scheme is more than 10,000 m2 of new commercial floorspace; or (iii) it would provide a total of more than 10,000 m2 of new commercial floorspace; or development of more than 1,000 dwellings). The test is intentionally a high bar. The test is intentionally a high bar.	In this case, considering the separation distance between the project site and the larger development site to the southwest of around 2.3km, it is considered that any cumulative effects in relation to this development in conjunction with the project would not be likely to be significant.	Whilst the project site is located close to the development site to the east, and it would change previously undeveloped greenfield land to a far more intensive use, the cumulative impact is unlikely to have significant urbanising effects and falls below the indicative threshold for previously non-urbanised areas in terms of dwellings and commercial space when considered in conjunction with this approved scheme. The site is not within a sensitive area and there is also not expected to be high levels of contamination.	Some short-term construction impacts may be felt cumulatively with the construction of the additional proposed dwellings, more so with the development site to the east rather than the development site to the southwest given the separation distance; however, the approved scheme to the east is at an advanced stage of construction and may not coincide. A CEMP would be required to manage any impacts.	In terms of cumulative landscape, heritage and transport impacts, the Transportation Officer requires that a review of the potential impact on the local highway network and its junctions includes other committed developments and will need to consider/implement mitigation measures accordingly. The Landscape Officer requires a cumulative LVIA to be undertaken to determine the impact of the proposals in conjunction with other local residential schemes in and around Wickwar including recently constructed, consented and current planning applications. The Heritage Officer states that the proposed development would see the further urbanisation of the southern end of Wickwar and will have further impact on the setting of the designated heritage assets; however, he considers that cumulative impact of the scheme would not fall within the scope of 'significant' to trigger an EIA.	It is therefore not considered that either in itself or cumulatively the impacts would be sufficiently significant to trigger a full EIA for this project.
Yes. Consent has been granted for the development of up to 90 dwellings on the east side of the B4060 approximately 15m to the east of the project site under application PK17/4552/0. Development of this site is currently under construction. Consent has also been granted for the development of a mixed use development comprising up to 2450 dwellings approximately 2.3km southwest of the project site under application PK12/1913/0. Development of this site is currently under construction.					
<b>12.1</b> Could this project together with existing and/or approved development result in cumulation of impacts together during the construction/operation phase? during the construction/operation phase?					



**13.1** Is the project likely to lead to transboundary effects?2

N/A

٩N

2 The Regulations require consideration of the transboundary nature of the impact. Due to the England's geographical location the vast majority of TCPA cases are unlikely to result in transboundary impacts.

## 5. CONCLUSIONS – ACCORDING TO EIA REGULATIONS SCHEDULE 3

The proposal is for the erection of up to 180 dwellings and a local retail unit and relates to some 7.89 hectares of land. The majority of the site is located in flood zone 1 and no part of the development would be located within a flood risk area; it is not expected that there would be any significant risk from contamination or previous coal mining activity. The development would result in the loss of agricultural land; however, it is grade 4 (poor quality) and not best and most versatile. The site is not covered by any statutory or non-statutory nature designations and mostly comprises improved grassland. It is not considered that there would be any significant issues in terms of cumulative effects, use of natural materials or waste that would warrant an EIA given the scale and nature of the proposal.

The site is located on the southern edge of Wickwar, approximately 306 metres from Wickwar High Street and on the western side of the B4060 (Sodbury Road) from which access would be taken. Housing developments have recently been completed and are under construction to the east of the application site on the opposite side of the road. The site is not covered by any statutory or non-statutory landscape designations. The development would see further urbanisation of the southern end of Wickwar and there would be a cumulative effect in conjunction with development to the east, which would likely impact on the setting of designated heritage assets including the Wickwar Conservation Area. These impacts would not warrant an EIA in this case; however robust LVIA, Heritage Statements and archaeological reports will be required to be submitted to determine the respective impacts.

The construction process would likely bring about impacts through noise and disruption, as well as additional traffic which would impact the local area. There would also be an increase in traffic to and from the site during operation. However, the significance of the impacts are not considered to breach relative norms. Notwithstanding this conclusion a full set of studies will be required to determine the respective impacts.

The majority of environmental impacts arising from the proposal would also have the potential to be mitigated through careful design and management of activities. It is therefore concluded that an Environmental Statement is not required under the regulations.

In reaching this conclusion, the relevant EIA Regulations have been considered as well as guidance contained in the NPPG.

Please note that this assessment relates to environmental impacts only, and the principle of development and other relevant matters will be assessed against current planning policy at full application stage.

## 6. SCREENING DECISION

If a SO/SD has been provided do you agree with it?	<select></select>		
Is it necessary to issue a SD?	Yes		
Is an ES required?	Νο		
7. ASSESSMENT (EIA REGS SCHEDULE 2 DEVELOPMENT)	OUTCOME		
Is likely to have significant effects on the environment	N/A		
Not likely to have significant effects on the environment	ES not required		
More information is required to inform direction	N/A		

Page 9/9